CITY COUNCIL, CITY OF LODI CARNEGIE FORUM, 305 WEST PINE STREET WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 16, 1991 7:30 P.M.

ROLL CALL

Present:

Council Members - Pennino, Pinkerton,

Sieglock, Snider, and Hinchman (Mayor)

Absent:

Council Members - None

Also Present: City Manager Peterson, Assistant City

Manager Community Glenn, Development Director Schroeder, Public Works Director Ronsko, City Attorney McNatt, and City Clerk

Reimche

INVOCATION

The invocation was given by Assistant City Manager Jerry

Glenn.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Mayor Hinchman.

PRESENTATIONS

ASSEMBLYMAN DEAN ANDAL ADDRESSES CITY COUNCIL

> Assemblyman Dean Andal addressed the City Council regarding issues of concern.

SPECIAL CITATION AWARD FOR THE PEDESTRIAN PROTECTION **PROGRAM**

> Frank Stevenson, Lodi District Manager of the California State Automobile Association, presented a Special Citation Award for the Pedestrian Protection Program acknowledging the City's participation in the program.

SOROPTIMIST INTERNATIONAL OF LODI PRESENTS CHECK TOWARD PURCHASE OF PLAYGROUND EQUIPMENT INSTALLED AT CANDY CANE PARK

CC-27(c) CC-104

Representatives of Soroptimist International of Lodi presented a check in the amount of \$1,000 as the initial purchase of playground equipment payment toward the installed at Candy Cane Park.

RED RIBBON WEEK PROCLAMATION

Mayor Hinchman declared the week of October 19 - 27, 1991 CC-37

as "Red Ribbon Week".

CONSENT CALENDAR

In accordance with report and recommendation of the City Manager, Council, on motion of Council Member Snider, Pinkerton second, approved the following items hereinafter set forth.

Agenda item #E-9 entitled, #F inal Map and Subdivision Agreement for Camellia Plaza, Tract No. 2473# was removed from the agenda.

Agenda item #E-10 entitled, "Renewal of Workers Compensation Claim Administration Contract with Greenfield-Thompson Associates" was removed from the Consent Calendar and discussed and acted upon under the Regular calendar.

CLAIMS CC-21(a)

Claims were approved in the amount of \$1,413,660.65.

MINUTES

The Minutes of September 18, 1991 (Regular Meeting) and October 3, 1991 (Special Meeting) were approved as written.

DESTRUCTION OF CERTAIN FINANCE RECORDS APPROVED

RESOLUTION NO. 91-184

CC-6 CC-300 The City Council adopted Resolution No. 91-184 approving destruction of certain Finance Department records in accordance with Government Code Section 34090.

COMPLETION OF HI HOPES
REORGANIZATION INCLUDING
ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF
LODI AND DETACHMENT FROM THE
MOKELUMNE FIRE DISTRICT AND
THE SJC RESOURCE CONSERVATION
DISTRICT APPROVED

RESOLUTION NO. 91-185

CC-8(a) CC-300 The City Council was reminded that at its meeting of August 21, 1991 it initiated the annexation/reorganization proceedings for the Hi Hopes Addition. This addition encompasses three parcels, 5279 East Kettleman Lane (APN 049-070-15) and 15514 and 15602 North Beckman Road (APN's 049-070-66 and 67). It contains 77.15 acres and is located south of the future easterly extension of East Vine Street and west of the Central California main line.

The parcels were prezoned M-1, Light Industrial, by Ordinance No. 1517 introduced on August 7, 1991.

The Local Agency Formation Commission of San Joaquin County on September 20, 1991 adopted Resolution No. 839 approving the Hi Hopes addition/organization and authorizing the City Council to complete proceedings for uninhabited reorganization without notice or hearing. The notice and hearing are not required because the annexation was requested by 100% of the owners.

The City Council adopted Resolution No. 91-185 entitled, "A Resolution of the Lodi City Council Ordering the Hi Hopes Reorganization (LAFC 18-91) Without Notice and Hearing, Including Annexation to the City of Lodi, and Detachment from the Mokelumne Fire District and the SJC Resource Conservation District".

RESOLUTION OF SUPPORT FOR SMOKING GRANT APPLICATION

RESOLUTION NO. 91-186

CC-24(b) CC-300 The City Council was reminded that in December 1990, the City of Lodi implemented one of the most comprehensive tobacco control ordinances in California. Our Smoking Pollution Control ordinance has been referred to in the June 1991 issue of Western City magazine and is cited in the California Smoke-Free Cities Mini-Grant application package. The City has been encouraged by San Joaquin County and the League of California Cities to submit this application. City is requesting mini-grant The approximately \$10,000 to fund four primary activities. Resolution 91-186 has been prepared to help increase the probability of acceptance of the application.

The City Council adopted Resolution No. 91-186 authorizing Smoke-Free Cities Mini-Grant Application.

RESOLUTION NO. 90-197 AMENDED THEREBY REDUCING LOAN AMOUNT FOR CALIFORNIA SAFE DRINKING WATER FUNDS

RESOLUTION NO. 91-187

CC-183(f) CC-300 The City Council adopted Resolution No. 91-187 entitled, "A Resolution of the Lodi City Council Amending Resolution No. 90-197 and Accepting Loan from California Department of Water Resources, Authorizing the City Manager to Sign the Contract, Establishing Funds to Meet Payments, and Designating Finance Director to Approve Partial Payment Estimates".

The City Council was advised that the proposed resolution is similar to Resolution No. 90-197 passed in the regular City Council meeting of December 19, 1990. The Department

of Water Resources has requested the City to pass another resolution which reflects the revised loan commitment from \$5,000,000 to a \$4,758,000 loan commitment.

The Department of Water Resources made an original commitment for a \$5,000,000 construction loan in September 1990. The project is now estimated to cost \$4,758,000 instead of the original estimate of \$5,623,800. The City was able to reduce the project cost due to wells which were originally out of compliance coming back into compliance and one well falling out of compliance.

SPECIFICATIONS FOR TABLES AND CHAIRS FOR HUTCHINS STREET SQUARE SENIOR CENTER APPROVED

CC-12.1(b)

The City Council approved the specifications and authorized advertisement for bids for the purchase of ten tables and fifty stacking chairs for the Senior Center at Hutchins Street Square.

With an average of 500 clients per month using the Senior Center, it is necessary to furnish the Center with tables and chairs that fit the needs of the seniors.

Square tables are ideal for cards and other board games, and can be moved together for multi-use.

Chairs with good back support, and arms to assist seniors in getting to a standing position, are essential, and are available with the American Seating Acton Stacker° chair. In addition, the Acton chair is currently in use throughout the Community Center, so chairs may be interchanged as needed to meet seating demands in various parts of the Center.

CONTRACT AWARD FOR BLAKELY PARK RESTROOM REMODEL

RESOLUTION NO. 91-188

CC-12(c) CC-300 The City Council adopted Resolution No. 91-188 awarding the contract for Blakely Park Restroom Remodel to McDonald Glenn Company in the amount of \$45,219.00.

This project will renovate the deteriorated restroom in Blakely Park by replacing the existing flat roof with a pitched roof and providing handicap accessibility inside. Community Development Block Grant Funds will be used to finance this project.

Plans and specifications for this project were approved on September 18, 1991. The City received the following five bids for this project:

Bidder	Location	<u>Bid</u>
Engineer's Estimate		\$ 51,453.00
McDonald Glenn Company	Manteca	\$ 45,219.00
Paragon Construction	Stockton	\$ 48,711.00
Neth Construction	Stockton	\$ 52,815.00
Diede Construction	Woodbridge	\$ 55,000.00
RLA Construction	Stockton	\$ 58,766.00

AGENDA ITEM REMOVED FROM THE AGENDA

Agenda item #E-9 entitled, #Final Map and Subdivision Agreement for Camellia Plaza, Tract No. 2473# was removed from the agenda.

RESOLUTION ADOPTED AUTHORIZING
ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE
PUBLIC EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM
DOCUMENTS ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF LODI

RESOLUTION NO. 91-189

CC-6 CC-34 CC-300 The City Council adopted Resolution No. 91-189 - A Resolution of the Lodi City Council Delegating Authority to the City Manager and Assistant City Manager Pursuant to Section 21034 of the Government Code of the State of California to Make Determinations Regarding Industrial Disability Retirements Thereunder, to Establish a Procedure Relating to Industrial Disability Retirement Determinations, and Rescinding Resolution No. 88-16.

The City Council was advised that there are a number of procedures regarding safety disability retirements that require a specified person to act. The City Council has authorized the City Manager to act on behalf of the City. For years the Assistant City manager has been acting for the City Manager. Whether PERS is tightening their procedures or the person reviewing our document is following the letter of the law, documents are being returned because of improper signature.

TRAFFIC RESOLUTION AMENDMENT - PARKING ON CENTRAL AVENUE, FLORA STREET TO HAROLD STREET

RESOLUTION NO. 91-190

CC-48(a) CC-300 The City Council adopted Resolution No. 91-190 entitled, "A Resolution of the Lodi City Council Amending Traffic Resolution No. 87-163, Section 4-Angle Parking".

The City Council was advised that the Public Works Department staff recently discovered an error in the angle parking section of the Traffic Resolution. At the request of adjacent residents, City Council removed the diagonal parking stalls on Central Avenue from the alley south of Lodi Avenue to Flora Street on March 20, 1985. On January 16, 1985, City Council, for safety reasons, also approved replacing the diagonal stalls with parallel stalls on Central Avenue, north and south of Eden Street. When the Traffic Resolution was adopted in 1987, these changes were not included.

Staff recommended no changes to the existing parking and that Section 4 of the Traffic Resolution be amended to show angle parking as follows:

Central Avenue (east side) from Hilbern Flora Street to Harold Street except four parking stalls at Eden Street.

Central Avenue (west side) from alley-south-of-Lodi
---Avenue Flora Street to Harold Street except three
parking stalls at Eden Street.

EMERGENCY ACQUISITION OF MATERIALS FOR REPLACEMENT OF CONVEYOR SYSTEM IN PRIMARY SEDIMENTATION TANK AT WHITE SLOUGH WATER POLLUTION CONTROL FACILITY APPROVED

CC-12(d) CC-20 CC-47 The City Council approved the acquisition of materials for replacement of the conveyor system in the sedimentation tank at White Slough Water Pollution Control Facility.

The 1991/92 budget includes \$27,000 for the replacement of the metallic chains and sprockets for one of the existing primary sedimentation tanks. The replacement will be made with nonmetallic chains and sprockets identical to those installed in the new primary sedimentation tank recently constructed as part of the White Slough expansion project. This replacement is not part of the expansion project and it will be made using City forces. Similar replacements

430ntinued October 16, 1991

will be made in the remaining two existing tanks in future budget years.

The existing primary sedimentation tank was recently taken out of service so that the expansion project contractor could perform some other unrelated work in the tank. The existing conveyor system is now frozen up. Rather than expend additional dollars to unfreeze the mechanism, it is in the City's best interest to install the new nonmetallic system at this time. The City needs to put the existing primary sedimentation tank back into service as soon as possible in order that we can continue to meet effluent requirements.

NORTHWEST RESOURCE THIRD PHASE AGREEMENT AND THE ENERGY SALE AGREEMENT BETWEEN NORTHERN CALIFORNIA POWER AGENCY (NCPA) AND THE BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION

RESOLUTION NOS. 91-191 AND 91-192

CC-7(j) CC-300 The City Council adopted Resolution No. 91-191 entitled, "A Resolution of the Lodi City Council Authorizing Execution of Energy Sales Agreement".

The City Council also adopted Resolution No. 91-192 entitled, "A Resolution of the Lodi City Council Authorizing Execution of Northwest Resource Third Phase Agreement".

The California-Oregon Transmission Project (COTP) will open a transmission corridor to the Pacific Northwest and its economically priced hydroelectric and coal-fired power and energy. Therefore, it is necessary to have purchase power contracts in place prior to commercial operating date of the COTP to obtain immediate benefit.

NCPA has entered into a 20-year power sale agreement with Washington Water Power Company (WWP), a corporation of the State of Washington, to deliver firm capacity and associated energy, as scheduled by NCPA, to be imported into California over the California-Oregon Transmission Project. The power and energy will be distributed to the participants in the above-mentioned contract on the basis of percentage participation stated therein.

The purpose of the NORTHWEST RESOURCE THIRD PHASE AGREEMENT is to establish the participation percentage of power purchased from WWP which NCPA is obligated to make available to each participating NCPA member. WWP must transfer that power and energy for export from the Northwest through Bonneville. Three parties are required

to move power out of the Northwest - a BUYER (NCPA), a SELLER (WWP) and BONNEVILLE.

The purpose of the ENERGY SALE AGREEMENT BETWEEN NCPA AND THE BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION is to duly recognize Bonneville as the Pacific Northwest "Marketing and Transfer" agency for all transfers of power out of the Northwest. Transfers of power and energy from Canadian power agencies and northwest agencies all require formal agreement and acceptance by Bonneville. This agreement, authorized by Bonneville, allows NCPA to transfer power and energy once it (NCPA) has authorization from its members.

SALARY RANGES AMENDED FOR EMPLOYEES IN THE POLICE, FIRE, AND MAINTENANCE AND OPERATORS UNIT

RESOLUTION NOS. 91-193, 91-194, AND 91-195

CC-34 CC-300 The City Council adopted Resolution No. 91-193 entitled, "A Resolution of the Lodi City Council Adopting Salary Schedule for the Police Unit Effective September 30, 1991".

The City Council was advised that the negotiated agreement with the Police Officers' Association of Lodi enters its third year on October 1, 1991. That agreement calls for a salary increase effective the pay period beginning September 30, 1991.

We have traditionally tied the Police Mid-Management personnel to the same percentage increase as is negotiated for the represented employees. Therefore, we are including Police Sergeants, Police Lieutenants, and Police Captains in our recommendation.

The City Council adopted Resolution No. 91-194 entitled, "A Resolution of the Lodi City Council Adopting Salary Schedule for the Fire Unit Effective October 4, 1991".

The negotiated agreement with the United Firefighters of Lodi enters its second year on October 1, 1991. That agreement calls for a salary increase effective the pay period beginning October 14, 1991. Fire Mid-Management employees are tied to the same schedule of increases granted all other Mid-Management employees.

The City Council adopted Resolution No. 91-195 entitled, "A Resolution of the Lodi City Council Adopting Salary Schedule for the Maintenance and Operators Unit Effective the Pay Periods Beginning July 8, 1991 and January 6, 1992".

The City Council was advised that negotiations are completed with the Maintenance and Operators unit. This unit is now represented by the San Joaquin Public

Employees' Association (SJPEA). SJPEA became the exclusive representative of this unit on July 1, 1991. Because of this, negotiations were late in beginning; however, the spirit of reaching agreement was imperative on the part of both parties. For this reason staff recommended that all economic issues be retroactive to the pay period beginning July 8, 1991. The Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is not finished, however, that should not hold up the negotiated increases.

First year salary increases for this unit are phased. All personnel will receive a 2.75% increase in July and another increase is scheduled for January which provides for different increases for different job classifications based on salary survey, local market conditions, and the City's ability to recruit and retain employees.

COMMENTS BY CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

The following comments were received under the "Comments by City Council Members" segment of the agenda:

LODI PARKS AND RECREATION
DEPARTMENT AFTER SCHOOL PROGRAM
APPLAUDED

CC-9

Mayor Hinchman complimented the Parks and Recreation Department on their after-school program for kids and presented a sweatshirt with the new logo for the program - "It's Cool To Stay After School".

COMMENTS BY THE PUBLIC ON NON AGENDA ITEMS

The following comments were received under the "Comments by the public on non-agenda items" segment of the agenda:

STATUS REPORT ON CLEANUP EFFORTS AT 303 EAST ELM STREET REQUESTED

CC-16 CC-24(b) Virginia Lahr, 311 East Elm Street, Lodi addressed the City Council requesting a status report on the cleanup efforts at 303 East Elm Street and expressed her concerns regarding the structure.

CONCERNS EXPRESSED REGARDING INTERSECTION OF TOKAY STREET AND CHEROKEE LANE

CC-16

Regina Ortiz and Marla Donovan, 621 Hale Road, Lodi addressed the City Council regarding Mrs. Ortiz's daughter being hit by a car at the intersection of Tokay and Cherokee and asked that action be taken to make this area safer for pedestrians.

COMPLAINT VOICED REGARDING
PICTURE OF MUTILATED BABY LEFT
ON FRONT PORCH OF HOME OF LODI RESIDENT

CC-16 CC-59 Tamzin Ellsworth, 617 North School Street, Lodi addressed the City Council complaining about the picture of a mutilated baby that was placed on her front porch and asked what could be done about this type of distribution of materials.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

LODI UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 1991-92 DECLARATION OF IMPACTION

RESOLUTION NO. 91-196

CC-7(e) CC-43 CC-300 Notice thereof having been published according to law, an affidavit of which publication is on file in the office of the City Clerk, Mayor Hinchman called for the Public Hearing to consider the Lodi Unified School District 1991 Declaration of Impaction.

The City Council was advised that on September 3, 1991 the Lodi Unified School District Board of Trustees adopted Resolution No. 91-98 Declaring Continued Conditions of Overcrowding in the District.

All schools within the corporate limits of the City of Lodi were listed as overcrowded.

The District asked the City Council to adopt a Resolution declaring continued impacting so that LUSD may continue to adopt SB201 fee (old fee).

The following persons spoke in favor of the declaration:

- a) Marla Donovan, 621 Hale Road, Lodi;
- b) Reginia Ortiz, 621 Hale Road, Lodi; and
- c) Terry Heath, Assistant Supervisor for Operations, Lodi Unified School District.

There being no other persons wishing to speak on the matter the public portion of the hearing was closed.

On motion of Council Member Snider, Sieglock second, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 91-196 entitled, "A Resolution of the Lodi City Council Concurring with the Findings of the Lodi Unified School District Regarding Impaction and Declaring a State of Impaction in Attendance Areas Within the District".

434 Continued October 16, 1991

URGENCY ORDINANCE ADOPTED
AMENDING LODI'S DEVELOPMENT
FEE ORDINANCE TO MODIFY THE
DEFINITION OF PROJECTS SUBJECT
TO NEW DEVELOPMENT FEES

ORDINANCE NO. 1526 ADOPTED

CC-46 CC-56 CC-149 Notice thereof having been published according to law, an affidavit of which publication is on file in the office of the City Clerk, Mayor Hinchman called for the Public Hearing to consider adopting as an urgency ordinance an amendment to Lodi's Development Fee Ordinance to modify the definition of projects subject to new development fees.

Council Member Snider abstained from discussion and voting on this matter because of a possible conflict of interest.

As directed by the City Council at its special meeting of October 3, 1991, staff presented a draft urgency ordinance amending Ordinance No. 1518, the recently-adopted development fee plan. This action was necessary to clear up some ambiguities and misunderstandings on how the new fees would apply to land in the City which already had some type of development approval, but for which no building permit had been obtained.

This amendment, if adopted, would exempt parcels which had already been subdivided or approved for development and for which the fees in existence at the time of approval (usually just the Master Storm Drain Fees) had already been paid.

It should be noted that in some cases, land might still be subject to an incremental fee where the proposed use is allowable under the General Plan but still more intensive than the land use assumptions built into the fee ordinance. For example, land zoned and approved for industrial use, and for which the Master Storm Drain Fees had already been paid could, consistent with our zoning laws, be used for commercial purposes. This might mean heavier traffic and more demand for sewer or water than an industrial use. Obviously, it would be fair that such uses pay the incremental increase.

It was also recommended that this ordinance, if desired, should be adopted as an urgency measure so that it becomes effective on the same date as the rest of the new fee statutes. Otherwise, there would be a short "window" in which fees would be different between the time Ordinance 1518 becomes effective (November 5, 1991) and the effective date of the proposed amendments. The findings necessary to justify such an urgency adoption were included in the proposed ordinance.

Speaking in support of the matter was a representative of the Lodi Development Inc., 1420 South Mills Avenue, Lodi.

There being no other persons wishing to speak on the matter, the public portion of the hearing was closed.

Following discussion, on motion of Council Member Sieglock, Pennino second, the City Council adopted Urgency Ordinance No. 1526 entitled, "An Urgency Ordinance of the Lodi City Council Amending Lodi Municipal Code Chapter 15.64 -'Development Impact Mitigation Fees'". The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes:

Council Members - Pennino, Pinkerton, Sieglock

and Hinchman

Noes:

Council Members - None

Absent:

Council Members - None

Abstain: Council Members - Snider

PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT REIMBURSEMENT ORDINANCE ADOPTED

ORDINANCE NO. 1527

Notice thereof having been published according to law, an affidavit of which publication is on file in the office of the City Clerk, Mayor Hinchman called for the Public Hearing to consider a proposed Public Improvement Reimbursement Ordinance.

The City Council was advised that Developers are required to install water, sewer and drainage lines and street improvements necessary to serve their development. These improvements are made within the project and along the frontage of the parcel being developed thus parcels on the opposite side of the street then have those improvements Occasionally these improvements extend across other parcels. In either case, it is reasonable to require subsequent developers of the other parcels to reimburse the first developer for their appropriate share of the improvements. Although this reimbursement is not required by state law, it has been the City's practice to do so for many years.

In addition to the above scenario, developers are sometimes required to "oversize" a utility to provide "upstream" capacity. In this case, State law requires that the developer be reimbursed, either by the City or the upstream property.

The Development Impact Mitigation Fees address some of these issues, mainly oversize sewers, water mains and master storm drains required by the City Master Plans. The existing Municipal Code only addresses water and sewer extensions reimbursements and does not fully comply with state law.

The proposed ordinance does the following:

- 1) Creates a unified reimbursement procedure for improvements not covered under the Development Impact Mitigation Fees Ordinance;
- 2) Adds storm drainage and street installations as improvements eligible for reimbursement;
- Repeals and/or amends existing code sections as needed to comply with the Development Impact Fee Study and the new Reimbursement Ordinance; and
- 4) Provides for a public hearing process.

A comparison of major points in the proposed Reimbursement Ordinance and the existing code was presented for Council's Examples of reimbursable improvements were also presented for review. The proposed ordinance provides that the City charge the applicant for preparation of the agreement and separate a "collection charge" when the reimbursement(s) is collected. This more equitably spreads the City's costs. The draft ordinance provides that the preparation charge can be included in the reimbursable amount. The collection charge would then be a minor charge to prepare a bill, collect the reimbursement and process a It could occur once or numerous times depending on the number of parcels affected by the reimbursement The ordinance provides for these charges to be set by separate resolution. A draft of this resolution was presented for Council's review and would be adopted when the ordinance is adopted at the next Council meeting. Continuation of the hearing will provide the full fourteen-day notice period as required by state law.

The recommended charges, based on Public Works staff time and expenses for advertising and mailing, are:

Agreement Preparation: 1% of construction cost with a

minimum charge of \$500

Collection Charge: \$60

Based on the amounts of past agreements, most will pay the \$500 minimum.

Reimbursement Agreements

Item	Existing <u>Code</u>	Proposed Ordinance	Comments
Affects:	Water Lines Sewer Lines	Water Facilities Sewer Facilities Storm Drains Streets	The proposed ordinance will cover such improvements as sewer lift stations which, in the past, required a special agreement.
Maximum size without reimbursement	Water - 8" Sewer - 10"	Water 8" Sewer 10" Storm 18" Street 68 ft. R/W	The concept "oversize" streets was included in the Development Impact Mitigation Fee study, but not explicitly included in the ordinance.
Costs eligible for reimbursement	Construction costs except services for oversize, difference in materials only	All construction costs plus service as appropriate	S
	10% for engineering and administration	Same	
		Appropriate City fi.e. engineering, inspection, administrative charges, and easem acquisition	
Interest	Specifically not allowed	Reimbursement amount indexed to Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index	State law requires "an amount attributable to interest". Index is same as Development Impact Fee Ordinance
Term	10 years, afterwards payments retained by City	15 years, afterwards payment placed in the Impa Mitigation Fund(s)	
Basis of charge	Per front foot	As appropriate per parcel benefitted	

City Charge

2% of each reimbursement collected. with \$35.00 minimum for water

Separate charge to Draft resolution prepare agreement recommends 1% of plus collection construction cost with charge, all set \$500 minimum and \$60 per separate for each collection resolution

On motion of Council Member Pennino, Hinchman second, the City Council introduced Ordinance No. 1527 - a Public Improvement Reimbursement Ordinance and continued the public hearing to the November 6, 1991 Council meeting for adoption of the resolution entitled, "A Resolution of the Lodi City Council Establishing Charges for Preparation and Processing of Public Improvement Reimbursement Agreements".

REPORT

PLANNING COMMISSION City Manager Peterson presented the following Planning Commission Report of the Planning Commission Meeting of October 7, 1991.

CC-35

The Planning Commission took the following actions were taken at the Special Session of the Planning Commission held October 7, 1991.

a.

Recommended amending the Land Use Element of the Lodi General Plan by adopting General Plan Amendment 91-2 (GPA LU 91-2) which consists of the following sections:

- the request of Baumbach and Piazza Inc. Consulting Engineers on behalf of Bruce 1. Towne, by redesignating the parcels located at 150 East Turner Road (APN 029-030-01) and 398 East Turner Road (APN 029-030-42) (proposed Towne Ranch development) totaling 81.3 acres from PR, Planned Residential to LDR, Low Density Residential.
- 2. the request of Baumbach and Piazza, Inc. Consulting Engineers on behalf of Robert Batch by redesignating the 100.1 acre parcel located at 16891 North Lower Sacramento Road (APN 029-030-33) (proposed Lodi West development) from PR, Planned Residential to LDR, Low Density Residential.
- the request of Baumbach and Piazza, Inc. 3. Consulting Engineers on behalf of Camray Development Company by redesignating the 43.4 acre parcel located at 2081 East Harney Lane (APN 058-210-09) (Proposed Century Meadows I Development) from PR, Planned Residential to LDR, Low Density Residential.
- the request of Glen I. Baumbach, Baumbach 4. and Piazza Inc. Consulting Engineers on

behalf of Delmar Batch by redesignating the parcels located at 1767 East Harney Lane (APN 058-210-02), 1831 East Harney Lane (APN 058-210-03) and 1865 East Harney Lane (APN 058-210-04) (proposed Century Meadows II development) totaling 39.54 acres from PR, Planned Residential to LDR, Low Density Residential.

- the request of Glen I. Baumbach, Baumbach and Piazza Inc. Consulting Engineers on behalf of Robert L. Lee by redesignating the 39.9 acre parcel located at 1601 East Harney Lane (APN 058-210-01)(proposed Century Meadows III development) from PR, Planned Residential to LDR, Low Density Residential.
- the request of Glen I. Baumbach, Baumbach and Piazza Inc. Consulting Engineers on behalf of Delmar Batch by redesignating the 50.04 acre parcel located at 14100 North Lower Sacramento Road (APN 058-230-02) (proposed Century Meadows IV development) from PR, Planned Residential to LDR, Low Density Residential.
- the request of Ronald B. Thomas by redesignating the 11.81 acre parcel located at 3820 East Almond Drive (APN 062-060-30) (proposed Colvin Ranch Development), and the parcels located at 3886 East Almond Drive (APN 062-060-31), 3910 East Almond Drive (APN 062-060-32), and 3936 East Almond Drive (APN 062-060-33) totaling 13.31 acres, from PR, Planned Residential to LDR, Low Density Residential.
- 8. the request of J. Jeffrey Kirst by redesignating the 24.61 acre parcel located at 14114 North Stockton Street (APN 062-290-01) (proposed Bangs Ranch development) from PR, Planned Residential to LDR, Low Density Residential.
- 9. the request of Russ Munson by redesignating the parcels located at 13977 North Cherokee Lane (APN's 062-290-04, 05 and 06) totaling 30.79 acres (proposed Johnson Ranch II development) from PR, Planned Residential to LDR, Low Density Residential.
- 10. the request of Terry Piazza, Baumbach and Piazza Inc. Consulting Engineers on behalf of Minora Morimoto and Richard Neuharth by

Ь.

c.

d.

е.

redesignating the parcels located at 14668 North Stockton Street (APN 062-060-12), 14758 North Stockton Street (APN 062-060-04) and 3861 East Almond Drive (APN 062-060-13), a portion of the proposed Neuharth North Addition totaling 14.96 acres from PR, Planned Residential to LDR, Low Density Residential and to include with this request the Wilbert Ruhl property, 3933 and 3891 East Almond Drive (APN's 062-060-14 and 15).

The Planning Commission recommends that the above General Plan Amendment be conditioned upon the developers or owners of all parcels subject to the amendment sign an Agreement for the Provision of School Facilities Funding with the Lodi Unified School District.

In a related action the Planning Commission recommended the certification of the filing of a Negative Declaration by the Community Development Director as adequate environmental documentation on the above General Plan Amendment.

Recommended approval of the request of Baumbach and Piazza Inc. Consulting Engineers on behalf of Bruce Towne to prezone the parcels located at 150 East Turner Road (APN 029-030-01) and 398 East Turner Road (APN 029-030-42) (proposed Towne Ranch development) to R-1, Single-Family Residential, and R-2, Single-Family Residential.

Recommended approval of the request of Baumbach and Piazza Inc. Consulting Engineers on behalf of Robert Batch to prezone the 100.1 acre parcel located at 16891 North Lower Sacramento Road (APN 029-030-33) (proposed Lodi West development) to R-1, Single-Family Residential, R-2, Single-Family Residential, and PD(29), Planned Development District No. 29.

Recommended approval of the request of Glen I. Baumbach, Baumbach and Piazza Inc. Consulting Engineers on behalf of Camray Development Company to prezone the 43.4 acre parcel located at 2081 East Harney Lane (APN 058-210-09) (Proposed Century Meadows I Development) to R-2, Single- Family Residential.

Recommended approval of the request of Glen I. Baumbach, Baumbach and Piazza Inc. Consulting Engineers on behalf of Delmar Batch to prezone the parcels located at 1767 East Harney Lane (APN 058-210-02), 1831 East Harney Lane (APN 058-210-04) (proposed Century Meadows II development) to R-2, Single-Family Residential.

f.

Recommended approval of the request of Glen I. Baumbach, Baumbach and Piazza Inc. Consulting Engineers on behalf of R.L. Lee to prezone the parcel located at 1601 East Harney Lane (APN 058-210-01)(proposed Century Meadows III development) to R-2, Single-Family Residential.

g,

Recommended approval of the request of Glen I. Baumbach, Baumbach and Piazza Inc. Consulting Engineers on behalf of Delmar Batch to prezone parcel located at 14100 North Lower Sacramento Road (APN 058-230-02) (proposed Century Meadows IV development) to R-1, Single-Family Residential.

h.

Recommended approval of the request of Ronald B. Thomas to prezone the parcel located at 3820 East Almond Drive (APN 062-060-30) (proposed Colvin Ranch Development), and the parcels located at 3886 East Almond Drive (APN 062-060-31), 3910 East Almond Drive (APN 062-060-32), and 3936 East Almond Drive (APN 062-060-33) to R-2, Single-Family Residential.

i

Recommended approval of the request of J. Jeffrey Kirst to prezone the parcel located at 14114 North Stockton Street (APN 062-290-01) (proposed Bangs Ranch development) to R-2, Single-Family Residential and PD(28), Planned Development District No. 28.

j.

Recommended approval of the request of Russ Munson to prezone the parcels located at 13977 North Cherokee Lane (APN's 062-290-04, 05 and 06) (proposed Johnson Ranch II development) to R-2, Single-Family Residential.

k.

Recommended approval of the request of Terry Piazza, Baumbach and Piazza Inc. Consulting Engineers on behalf of Minora Morimoto and Richard Neuharth to prezone the parcels located at 14668 North Stockton Street (APN 062-060-04), 14758 North Stockton Street (APN 062-060-12) and 3861 East Almond Drive (APN 062-060-13), a portion of the proposed Neuharth North Addition to R-2, Single-Family Residential.

Note: The Planning Commission recommends that each of the above prezonings be conditioned upon the developers or owners of all parcels subject to the prezonings sign an Agreement for the Provision of School Facilities Funding with the Lodi Unified School District.

1.

Recommended that the City Council certify the filings of Negative Declarations by the Community Development Director on Items b through k above as adequate environmental documentation on the projects.

On motion of Mayor Hinchman, Sieglock second, the City Council set the heretofore listed matters for public hearing on November 6, 1991.

COMMUNICATIONS (CITY CLERK)

APPEAL RECEIVED REGARDING
CERTAIN POLICIES OF THE CITY
OF LODI BUILDING DEPARTMENT
PERTAINING TO THE INSTALLATION OF
DECRABOND TILE

CC-14(a) CC-35 CC-91 The City Council referred to staff the appeal received from Cal-Pac Roofing, Inc. 11350 Monier Park Place, Rancho Cordova, California regarding certain policies of the City of Lodi Building Department pertaining to the installation of Decrabond Tile.

PUC APPLICATION

CC-7(f)

The City Council received a notice from Pacific Gas and Electric Company regarding application No. 91-08-061 filed with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) in which it requests authority to increase its rates for electric services.

MOKELUMNE RIVER ACCESS

CC-184

The City Council received a letter from Capt. R. E. Thull, 1667 Timberlake Circle, Lodi regarding access to the Mokelumne River. Mayor Hinchman asked that a copy of the subject letter be sent to the Committee recently formed to review Mokelumne River Access issues.

RECESS

Mayor Hinchman declared a five-minute recess and the meeting reconvened at approximately 9:00 p.m.

REGULAR CALENDAR

ORDINANCE INTRODUCED ADDRESSING PROPERTY MAINTENANCE AND THE DESIGNATION OF CERTAIN KINDS OF NUISANCES

ORDINANCE NO. 1528

CC-13 CC-24(c) CC-25 CC-149 The City Council was advised that over the past three months, there has been substantial Council discussion and study of a property maintenance/nuisance ordinance, as called for in the new General Plan. A Mayor's Committee of interested persons comprised of representatives of the public and real estate business community was formed. The Committee was chaired by Mayor Pro Tempore James Pinkerton and staffed by representatives from the Police Department, Fire Department, Community Development Department, City Attorney, and City Clerk. After two meetings and some suggested modifications, there now appears to be a

consensus among Committee members on a proposed ordinance presented for Council's review.

The purposes of the ordinance are to control conditions affecting the health, safety and welfare of the public, to prevent blight, and to protect property values. Admittedly, it may represent additional expense to some property owners. However, the experience of other cities with similar ordinances seems to have been positive with the burdens outweighed by the benefits. The proposed ordinance is similar to those in place elsewhere.

As previously discussed, Lodi has already adopted certain Uniform Codes (Housing, Building, Fire, etc.) which address most situations of a pure health and safety nature. This ordinance would be complimentary to those codes, covering situations where the concerns are also aesthetic. Examples would include:

- Accumulations of dirt or debris not constituting a fire or health hazard
- Broken or discarded furniture or appliances
- ° Shopping carts
- ° Mattresses
- Machinery (other than vehicles) or personal property which has been abandoned, dismantled or left in a state of construction or repair
- Miscellaneous junk not amounting to a fire or health hazard
- ° Front yard clothes lines or clothes hanging in front yards
- Boxes, lumber, fire wood or other salvaged materials
- Accumulations of recyclable materials such as cans or bottles
- Unpainted buildings or buildings with the majority of paint gone or deteriorated.
- ° Dilapidated fences.

Because of the cost and staff requirements involved, no attempt was made to include a provision for periodic inspection of buildings, as some other cities have done. Enforcement would remain primarily on a complaint basis.

Some changes have been made since the earlier draft ordinance was distributed to the Council. For example, dilapidated fences are now covered, and the penalty provisions have been added to provide increasing fines for subsequent violations. The Board to which decisions of the City Manager would be appealed has been changed from the Council to the Planning Commission, which more frequently works with such appeals involving real property.

The City Attorney expressed that he believes the proposed draft complies with Council directions received at the August 28, 1991 meeting and addresses most if not all the concerns expressed by the various parties involved.

Speaking in support of the proposed ordinance were:

- a) Rose Marie Mendonca, President of the Lodi Board of Realtors;
- b)

 Dee Nietschke, Nietschke Real Estate and
 Property Management, 1806 West Kettleman
 Lane, Lodi; and
- c) Eileen St. Yves, 310 South Orange Street, Lodi.

Discussion followed with questions being directed to staff and to those who had given testimony.

On motion of Mayor Pro Tempore Pinkerton, Pennino second, the City Council introduced Ordinance No. 1528 entitled, "An Ordinance of the Lodi City Council Addressing Property Maintenance and the Designation of Certain Kinds of Nuisances" with the deletion of side yards from Section 15.30.030 - B and also designating the City Council to hear and rule on appeals pertaining to this ordinance.

The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members - Pennino, Pinkerton, Sieglock,

and Snider

Noes: Council Members - Hinchman

Absent: Council Members - None

Abstain: Council Members - None

RELOCATION OF SCOREBOARD AT KOFU PARK

CC-16 Parks Superintendent, Scott Essin addressed the City CC-27(c) Council advising that at its meeting of October 2, 1991, CC-171 the City Council directed staff to meet with area residents

to determine where best to relocate the scoreboard at Kofu Park. Mr. Essin, Tim McGeorge, Parks Supervisor, Ron Williamson, Parks and Recreation Director, Steve Virrey, Parks Projects Coordinator, met with Don Miller of Professional Sports Marketing and the following residents that live on Cardinal Street:

- a) Mr. Clifford Nitschke;
- b) Mr. Erwin Rall; and
- c) Mr. Wong.

All agreed on a new location for the scoreboard. The bottom of the board will be approximately one foot above the base of the slope and the board will be in the tree canopy.

A diagram depicting the new location was presented for Council's review.

The relocation of the scoreboard will cost the City approximately \$5,000.00.

Discussion regarding the matter followed with questions being directed to staff.

Mr. Clifford Nitschke was in the audience and addressed the City Council regarding the matter and responded to questions as were posed by members of the City Council.

On motion of Council Member Snider, Hinchman second, the City Council voted to move the Kofu Park scoreboard to a site mutually agreed upon by property owners in the area and directed staff to seek out the lowest cost for completing this task including using City Staff.

PARK RANGER STAFFING REPORT

CC-16 CC-34 Scott Essin, Parks Superintendent addressed the City Council advising that there have been requests by citizens that staffing be increased in our Park Ranger Section. The area of primary concern was Lodi Lake and specifically a request for staffing to patrol the Nature Area.

In the 1991-92 budget, the Parks and Recreation Department made requests for an additional full-time Ranger whose responsibilities would include patrol of the Nature Area and Lodi Lake. This would be a new classification - Parks Interpretive Ranger whose duties would be divided between patrol @ 20% and community education 80%. This ranger would develop a Neighborhood Park Watch Program. Further plans are to develop a youth program called the Junior Ranger Program to involve youth in caring for their parks.

The Parks and Recreation Department has since developed the Discovery Center at Lodi Lake. This person would be in charge of operating this center. Other duties would be to coordinate the department's docent program. Since many duties would relate to the Nature Area, the level of patrol would increase. The use of volunteers would mean a cost effective way to monitor and control activities in the Nature Area.

Also included in last year's budget was a request for additional seasonal labor to provide patrol of our parks at night in a more comprehensive manner. Mr. Essin indicated they have had good results with the canine program. However, the extensive amount of training involved has meant numerous hours of overtime for the canine ranger. They therefore requested overtime hours to cover this expenditure. We expended in excess of \$5,000.00 in overtime for rangers in budget year 1990-91.

Presented for Council's review were staffing schedules. They were broken down into "On Season" and "Off Season" categories. They were further broken down into our current staffing level and proposed staffing levels. If these are compared, you will be able to see the number of rangers on Parks and Recreation Director duty at a given time. Williamson has not proposed to run the ranger operation on a twenty-four hour a day schedule. It should be noted that in our Master Plan of Lodi Lake, that a fence around the Nature Area is proposed which would permit the closing of the Nature Area during certain hours. Gates on Parking Lots at some of the Parks would also assist in enforcing Park hours. Fencing of Parks is also possible in lieu of continuing to add Rangers. Mr. Williamson did not recommend these alternatives at this time.

Following discussion with questions being directed to staff, the City Council on motion of Mayor Hinchman, Pennino second, directed that this entire matter be scheduled for review at a future Shirtsleeve Session.

INITIAL COST OF SERVICE STUDY - AGREEMENT WITH ECONOMIC AND ENGINEERING SERVICES. INC. (EES)

CC-6 CC-51(d) Electric Utility Director Henry Rice introduced the matter advising the City Council that a Cost of Service study would be an annual activity of the Electric Utility Department in the future. These studies will be done "in-house" each year to verify the rate structure and adjust to changing conditions.

The initial Cost of Service study will require a "jump start" with professional consulting help. This consultant will perform the formal steps as well as document the

account breakdowns and allocation problems which need correction. The first Cost of Service study is intended to be a "rough and dirty" study - we will proceed through the formal steps even though some of the data may not be available or in usable form.

The next phase of our Cost of Service project will be twofold: First, take steps to define and correct problems in translation of accounts and cost breakdowns; second, prepare an inventory of our utility plant in service.

The subject agreement with EES is intended to cover, among other activities, the initial Cost of Service study, documentation of strengths and weaknesses of existing data and cost breakdowns and preparation of the Request for Proposal (RFP) to perform an inventory of the utility plant in service.

EES has performed this same service for other NCPA entities as well as companies and power systems throughout the country. They enjoy a reputation for quickly bringing system account standards and load data up to usable level. EES normally does not perform physical plant inventories, but have performed RFP's for such services.

Without cost of service analysis, incentives for demand-side management customers who practice conservation and shift load to off-peak periods cannot be determined. An active demand-side management (DSM) program would allow the Electric Utility Department to purchase power from existing City of Lodi customers rather than from the Northern California Power Agency. To the extent that local businesses, both large and small, are encouraged to participate in DSM programs, they are strengthening their own and the City's economic bases.

While it is of paramount importance that power system revenues cover the total cost of service to the public, it is equally important that each segment of the public (class of customers) pay its fair share of the cost of providing electric service. A determination of cost of service needs to be made on a basis that supports cost allocation to each class of customers. A uniform system of accounts for operating expenses and fixed assets is necessary for cost of service account reporting and allocation.

Cost allocation is the tool used to judge whether the rates as designed will recover the cost of service - important because if the rates provide revenues which fail to cover the cost of service of a particular class of customers, then discrimination may be present as other customer groups are compelled to make up the deficiency. The most troubling problem faced by an allocation is caused by the lack of recorded cost breakdowns and customer demand data.

We have reasonably accurate demand data collected over the past several years as part of our load survey project. The cost breakdowns may not be available in a readily usable form.

This Cost of Service study is anticipated to be approximately \$32,000.

Following discussion with questions being directed to staff, Council on motion of Council Member Pennino, Hinchman second, authorized the City Manager and City Clerk to execute an agreement with EES to perform a Cost of Service Study with the Electric Utility Department. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members - Pennino, Sieglock, and Hinchman

Noes: Council Members - Snider

Absent: Council Members - Pinkerton (Council Member

Pinkerton was absent from the Council Chambers briefly when this vote was taken)

Abstain: Council Members - None

CHURCH STREET FROM ALLEY, SOUTH TO PINE STREET- PARKING LIMIT MODIFICATION AND TRAFFIC RESOLUTION AMENDMENT

RESOLUTION NO. 91-197

CC-48(a) CC-300 The City Council was advised that Public Works staff has surveyed parking on the west side of Church Street from Pine Street north to the alley, and on the north side of Pine Street from Church Street to the driveway of the City Hall parking lot, due to the number of vacant stalls observed and the closing of the business (Viola's) on Church Street. Both of the locations surveyed are two-hour parking zones. For comparison purposes, the two-hour or all-day parking with a Zone B permit or Civic Center parking permit, on the west side of Church Street from Elm Street south to the alley, was also surveyed. The survey results were presented for Council's review.

SURVEY RESULTS

As indicated in the survey, parking in the existing two-hour zones is not heavily used. The majority of available parking stalls were vacant. Parking in the two-hour Zone B area was used more, probably due to the all-day parking allowed with Zone B permits and Civic Center parking permits. Ninety percent of the vehicles

parked in this area displayed Zone B or Civic Center parking permits.

DISCUSSION/RECOMMENDATION

Due to the low parking use in the two-hour parking areas. staff feels it is reasonable to believe that this parking will be better utilized if Zone B permit parking is also allowed at these locations. At this time, staff requests that the City Council consider changing the two-hour parking on Church Street to two-hour or all-day with a Zone B permit. Staff does not wish to request the addition of Zone B parking on Pine Street at this time as it may adversely impact the availability of parking for customers of City Hall and businesses across the street. Staff will continue to monitor parking in these locations for any of changes described. If Council approves this modification, Section 6(A) of the Traffic Resolution 87-163 will require amending. Past practice in Zone B parking areas adjacent to the Civic Center has been to honor vehicles displaying Civic Center parking permits. Upon Council approval of the addition of Zone B parking in this area, Civic Center permits will also be allowed as approved by the City Manager.

In addition, staff recommends the Traffic Resolution 87-163 amendment indicating that Civic Center parking permits shall be valid in Zone B areas as determined by the City Manager. At this time, vehicles displaying Civic Center parking permits are allowed to park all day in the permit section of City Lot 5 located on the northeast corner of Elm Street and Church Street as well as the west side of Church Street from Elm Street south to the alley.

On motion of Mayor Pro Tempore Pinkerton, Snider second, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 91-197 entitled, "A Resolution of the Lodi City Council Approving Modifying the Parking Limit from Two-Hour to Two-Hour or All Day Parking with Zone B Permits on the West Side of Church Street From Pine Street North to the Alley (Five Parking Stalls) and Thereby Amending Traffic Resolution No. 87-163, Section 6 - Permit Parking".

RENEWAL OF WORKERS COMPENSATION CLAIM ADMINISTRATION CONTRACT WITH GREENFIELD-THOMPSON ASSOCIATES

CC-90

The renewal of the contract with Greenfield-Thompson Associates was presented for Council's approval. Greenfield-Thompson Associates (GTA) began service as the City's Workers Compensation third party administrator in November, 1990. The process of transferring files and electronic data as well as getting new procedures on line has not been easy. However, an excellent communication

flow and working relationship has developed between ${\sf GTA}$ and the ${\sf City}$.

In January, 1991, workers compensation "reforms" were introduced in California. These "reforms" have served primarily to add more deadlines and bureaucratic hoops to an already complex and expensive system. The primary beneficiaries of this system have been physicians and attorneys.

The current pricing for claims administration services expires on November 1, 1991. In response to the added complexities and increased claims activity created by the 1989 Reform Act and AB 2910, GTA is requesting a 6% increase in its service fee. GTA is also asking for a 2% increase to cover operating expenses and cost of living for employees. This overall 8% increase will raise GTA's monthly fee from \$4,400 per month to \$4,750. The Service Fee agreement was presented for Council's review.

Discussion followed with questions regarding the matter being directed to Kirk J. Evans, Administrative Assistant to the City Manager.

On motion of Council Member Sieglock, Pennino second, the City Council directed staff to negotiate with Greenfield-Thompson Associates to ascertain if it would be possible to reduce the fees proposed in the renewal contract with them for Workers Compensation Claims Administration. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members - Pennino, Pinkerton, Sieglock,

and Snider

Noes: Council Members - Hinchman

Absent: Council Members - None

Abstain: Council Members - None

ORDINANCES

ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING RATES FOR THE SALE OF ELECTRIC ENERGY BY THE CITY OF LODI TO BECOME EFFECTIVE ON ALL ELECTRIC UTILITY BILLINGS PREPARED BY THE CITY ON OR AFTER NOVEMBER 1, 1991

ORDINANCE NO. 1525 ADOPTED

CC-51(d) Ordinance No. 1525 entitled, "An Ordinance of the Lodi CC-56 City Council Repealing Ordinance No. 1507 and Enacting a CC-149 New Ordinance Establishing Rates for the Sale of Electric

Energy by the City of Lodi to Become Effective on All Electric utility Billings Prepared by the City on or after November 1, 1991" having been introduced at a regular meeting of the Lodi City Council held October 2, 1991 was brought up for passage on motion of Mayor Hinchman, Pinkerton second. Second reading of the ordinance was omitted after reading by title, and the ordinance was then adopted and ordered to print by the following vote:

Council Members - Pinkerton, Sieglock, Snider, Aves:

and Hinchman

Council Members - Pennino Noes:

Absent: Council Members - None

Abstain: Council Members - None

Although the agenda listed a Closed Session regarding labor CLOSED SESSION

relations, the City Council determined that it was not

necessary to meet in Closed Session.

There being no further business to come before the ADJOURNMENT City Council, Mayor Hinchman adjourned the meeting at

approximately 10:30 p.m.

alice m. Rumeke Alice M. Reimche

City Clerk